# DYING IN AMERICA

Improving Quality and Honoring Individual Preferences Near the End of Life

Key Findings and Recommendations

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

## Introduction

For patients and their loved ones, no care decisions are more profound than those made near the end of life. For the millions of Americans who work in or with the health care sector—including clinicians, clergy, caregivers, and support staff—providing high-quality care for people who are nearing the end of life is a matter of professional commitment and responsibility. Health system managers, payers, and policy makers, likewise, have a responsibility to ensure that end-of-life care is compassionate, affordable, sustainable, and of the best quality possible.

A substantial body of evidence shows that broad improvements to end-of-life care are within reach. In *Dying in America*, a consensus report from the Institute of Medicine (IOM), a committee of experts finds that improving the quality and availability of medical and social services for patients and their families could not only enhance quality of life through the end of life, but may also contribute to a more sustainable care system.

This booklet contains the IOM committee's key findings and complete recommendations.

# **Key Findings**

#### Delivery of Person-Centered, Family-Oriented Care

- People nearing the end of life often experience multiple transitions between health care settings—including high rates of apparently preventable hospitalizations—which can fragment the delivery of care and create burdens for patients and families.
- Demand for family caregiving is increasing, and the types of tasks performed by family caregivers are expanding from personal care and household tasks to include medical and nursing tasks, such as medication management.
- Palliative care is associated with a higher quality of life, including better understanding and communication, access to home care, emotional and spiritual support, well-being and dignity, care at time of death, and lighter symptom burden. Some evidence suggests that, on average, palliative care and hospice patients may live longer than similarly ill patients who do not receive such care.
- Although professional guidelines and expert advice increasingly encourage oncologists, cardiologists, and other disease-oriented specialists to counsel patients about palliative care, wide-spread adoption of timely referral to palliative care appears slow.

#### **Clinician-Patient Communication and Advance Care Planning**

- Most people nearing the end of life are not physically, mentally, or cognitively able to make their own decisions about care. The majority of these patients will receive acute hospital care from physicians who do not know them. Therefore, advance care planning is essential to ensure that patients receive care reflecting their values, goals, and preferences.
- Of people who indicate end-of-life care preferences, most choose care focused on alleviating pain and suffering. However, because the default mode of hospital treatment is acute care, advance planning and medical orders are needed to ensure that these preferences are honored.
- Frequent clinician-patient conversations about end-of-life care values, goals, and preferences are necessary to avoid unwanted treatment. However, most people—particularly younger, poorer, minority, and less-educated individuals, do not have these conversations. Clinicians need to initiate conversations about end-of-life care choices and work to ensure that patient and family decision making is based on adequate information and understanding.

• Incentives, quality standards, and system support are needed to promote improved clinician communication skills and more frequent and productive clinician-patient conversations.

#### **Professional Education and Development**

- The establishment of specialty practice in hospice and palliative medicine is a major improvement in the education of health professionals. Three problems remain: (1) insufficient attention to palliative care in medical and nursing school curricula; (2) educational silos that impede the development of interprofessional teams; and (3) deficits in equipping physicians with sufficient communication skills.
- Health professionals are not always adequately prepared to deliver "basic" or "primary" palliative care to patients who are not currently hospitalized or do not require specialty palliative care.

#### **Policies and Payment Systems**

- Incentives under fee-for-service Medicare result in more use of services (hospital days, intensive care, emergency care), more transitions among care settings, and late enrollment in hospice, all of which jeopardize the quality of end-of-life care and add to its costs. In addition, payment silos contribute to fragmentation of care, hinder coordination across providers, and encourage inappropriate utilization.
- Programs that integrate health care and long-term social services may reduce hospitalizations and health care costs while improving patients' quality of life. Successful models of these programs, including methods for ensuring financial sustainability, need to be implemented more broadly.
- Palliative care services, including hospice, improve patient outcomes and may reduce health care costs by lessening use of acute care services. Changes are needed throughout the health care system to incentivize provision of comprehensive palliative care.
- Quality standards and measures are needed to ensure that changes in payment systems, particularly those occurring under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, do not adversely affect quality of care for patients at the end of life.

#### **Public Education and Engagement**

- Need for public education and engagement about end-of-life care issues is manifest at the societal, community/family, and individual levels. Not only do most Americans lack knowledge about end-of-life care choices, but the health community and other leaders also have not fully utilized strategies to make that knowledge available, meaningful, and relevant across diverse population groups.
- Efforts are needed to normalize conversations about death and dying. Several social trends suggest that the time is right for a national dialogue on this issue, including health care consumers' motivation to pursue high-quality care for themselves and their loved ones; a growing willingness to share stories about end-of-life care experiences that resonate across diverse groups; and emerging leadership in local communities as well as national coalitions and collaborations.

#### **KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

## **Recommendations**

#### **Recommendation 1: Delivery of Care**

Government health insurers and care delivery programs, as well as private health insurers, should cover the provision of comprehensive care for individuals with advanced serious illness who are nearing the end of life.

Comprehensive care should

- be seamless, high-quality, integrated, patient-centered, family-oriented, and consistently accessible around the clock;
- consider the evolving physical, emotional, social, and spiritual needs of individuals approaching the end of life, as well as those of their family and/or caregivers;
- be competently delivered by professionals with appropriate expertise and training;
- include coordinated, efficient, and interoperable information transfer across all providers and all settings; and
- be consistent with individuals' values, goals, and informed preferences.

Health care delivery organizations should take the following steps to provide comprehensive care:

- All people with advanced serious illness should have access to skilled palliative care or, when appropriate, hospice care in all settings where they receive care (including health care facilities, the home, and the community).
- Palliative care should encompass access to an interdisciplinary palliative care team, including board-certified hospice and palliative medicine physicians, nurses, social workers, and chaplains, together with other health professionals as needed (including geriatricians). Depending on local resources, access to this team may be on site, via virtual consultation, or by transfer to a setting with these resources and this expertise.
- The full range of care that is delivered should be characterized by transparency and accountability through public reporting of aggregate quality and cost measures for all aspects of the health care system related to end-of-life care. The committee believes that informed individual choices should be honored, including the right to decline medical or social services.

#### **Recommendation 2: Clinician-Patient Communication and Advance Care Planning**

Professional societies and other organizations that establish quality standards should develop standards for clinician-patient communication and advance care planning that are measurable, actionable, and evidence based. These standards should change as needed to reflect the evolving population and health system needs and be consistent with emerging evidence, methods, and technologies. Payers and health care delivery organizations should adopt these standards and their supporting processes, and integrate them into assessments, care plans, and the reporting of health care quality.

Payers should tie such standards to reimbursement, and professional societies should adopt policies that facilitate tying the standards to reimbursement, licensing, and credentialing to encourage

- all individuals, including children with the capacity to do so, to have the opportunity to participate actively in their health care decision making throughout their lives and as they approach death, and receive medical and related social services consistent with their values, goals, and informed preferences;
- clinicians to initiate high-quality conversations about advance care planning, integrate the results of these conversations into the ongoing care plans of patients, and communicate with other clinicians as requested by the patient; and

• clinicians to continue to revisit advance care planning discussions with their patients because individuals' preferences and circumstances may change over time.

#### **Recommendation 3: Professional Education and Development**

Educational institutions, credentialing bodies, accrediting boards, state regulatory agencies, and health care delivery organizations should establish the appropriate training, certification, and/or licensure requirements to strengthen the palliative care knowledge and skills of all clinicians who care for individuals with advanced serious illness who are nearing the end of life.

Specifically,

- all clinicians across disciplines and specialties who care for people with advanced serious illness should be competent in basic palliative care, including communication skills, interprofessional collaboration, and symptom management;
- educational institutions and professional societies should provide training in palliative care domains throughout the professional's career;
- accrediting organizations, such as the Accreditation Council on Graduate Medical Education, should require palliative care education and clinical experience in programs for all specialties responsible for managing advanced serious illness (including primary care clinicians);
- certifying bodies, such as the medical, nursing, and social work specialty boards, and health systems, should require knowledge, skills, and competency in palliative care;
- state regulatory agencies should include education and training in palliative care in licensure requirements for physicians, nurses, chaplains, social workers, and others who provide health care to those nearing the end of life;
- entities that certify specialty-level health care providers should create pathways to certification that increase the number of health care professionals who pursue specialty-level palliative care training; and
- entities such as health care delivery organizations, academic medical centers, and teaching hospitals that sponsor specialty-level training positions should commit institutional resources to increasing the number of available training positions for specialty-level palliative care.

#### **Recommendation 4: Policies and Payment Systems**

Federal, state, and private insurance and health care delivery programs should integrate the financing of medical and social services to support the provision of quality care consistent with the values, goals, and informed preferences of people with advanced serious illness nearing the end of life. To the extent that additional legislation is necessary to implement this recommendation, the administration should seek and Congress should enact such legislation. In addition, the federal government should require public reporting on quality measures, outcomes, and costs regarding care near the end of life (e.g., in the last year of life) for programs it funds or administers (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, the Department of Veterans Affairs). The federal government should encourage all other payment and health care delivery systems to do the same.

Specifically, actions should

- provide financial incentives for
  - medical and social support services that decrease the need for emergency room and acute care services,
  - coordination of care across settings and providers (from hospital to ambulatory settings as well as home and community), and

4

#### **KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

- improved shared decision making and advance care planning that reduces the utilization of unnecessary medical services and those not consistent with a patient's goals for care;
- require the use of interoperable electronic health records that incorporate advance care planning to improve communication of individuals' wishes across time, settings, and providers, documenting (1) the designation of a surrogate/decision maker, (2) patient values and beliefs and goals for care, (3) the presence of an advance directive, and (4) the presence of medical orders for life-sustaining treatment for appropriate populations; and
- encourage states to develop and implement a Physician Orders for Life-Sustaining Treatment (POLST) paradigm program in accordance with nationally standardized core requirements.

Medical and social services provided should accord with a person's values, goals, informed preferences, condition, circumstances, and needs, with the expectation that individual service needs and intensity will change over time. High-quality, comprehensive, person-centered, and family-oriented care will help reduce preventable crises that lead to repeated use of 911 calls, emergency department visits, and hospital admissions, and if implemented appropriately, should contribute to stabilizing aggregate societal expenditures for medical and related social services and potentially lowering them over time.

## **Recommendation 5: Public Education and Engagement**

Civic leaders, public health and other governmental agencies, community-based organizations, faithbased organizations, consumer groups, health care delivery organizations, payers, employers, and professional societies should engage their constituents and provide fact-based information about care of people with advanced serious illness to encourage advance care planning and informed choice based on the needs and values of individuals.

Specifically, these organizations and groups should

- use appropriate media and other channels to reach their audiences, including underserved populations;
- provide evidence-based information about care options and informed decision making regarding treatment and care;
- encourage meaningful dialogue among individuals and their families and caregivers, clergy, and clinicians about values, care goals, and preferences related to advanced serious illness; and
- dispel misinformation that may impede informed decision making and public support for health system and policy reform regarding care near the end of life.

In addition,

- health care delivery organizations should provide information and materials about care near the end of life as part of their practices to facilitate clinicians' ongoing dialogue with patients, families, and caregivers;
- government agencies and payers should undertake, support, and share communication and behavioral research aimed at assessing public perceptions and actions with respect to end-of-life care, developing and testing effective messages and tailoring them to appropriate audience segments, and measuring progress and results; and
- health care professional societies should prepare educational materials and encourage their members to engage patients and their caregivers and families in advance care planning, including end-of-life discussions and decisions.

All of the above groups should work collaboratively, sharing successful strategies and promising practices across organizations. 🐌

#### 4 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 56 59 5 5 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65

Committee on Approaching Death: Addressing Key End-of-Life Issues

#### Philip A. Pizzo (Co-Chair) Stanford University, CA

David M. Walker (Co-Chair) Former U.S. Comptroller General, Bridgeport, CT

Patricia Bomba Excellus BlueCrossBlueShield, Rochester, NY

Eduardo Bruera University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston

**Charles J. Fahey** Fordham University and Milbank Memorial Fund, Syracuse, NY

Pamela S. Hinds Children's National Health System and The George Washington University, Washington, DC

Karla F. C. Holloway Duke University, Durham, NC

Naomi Karp Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, Washington, DC

Jean S. Kutner University of Colorado School of Medicine and University of Colorado Hospital, Aurora

**Bernard Lo** Greenwall Foundation, New York, NY

Salimah H. Meghani University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing, Philadelphia

#### Study Staff

Adrienne Stith Butler Senior Program Officer Stephanie H. Pincus Scholar-in-Residence Lauren Shern

Associate Program Officer

#### Consultants

Judith A. Salerno President and CEO, Susan G. Komen Breast Cancer Foundation

Victoria Weisfeld Consultant Writer Diane E. Meier Center to Advance Palliative Care and the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY

William D. Novelli Georgetown University, Washington, DC

**Stephen G. Pauker** Tufts University School of Medicine and Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA

Judith R. Peres Chevy Chase, MD

Leonard D. Schaeffer University of Southern California, Santa Monica

W. June Simmons Partners in Care Foundation, San Fernando, CA Christian T. Sinclair

Gentiva Hospice, Overland Park, KS Joan M. Teno

Brown University, Providence, RI Fernando Torres-Gil

University of California, Los Angeles James A. Tulsky Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC

Bradley Eckert Research Associate (through August 2014) Thelma Cox Administrative Assistant

Neil Weisfeld

**Rona Briere** 

Consultant Writer

Consultant Editor

# INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

#### Advising the nation • Improving health

500 Fifth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001 TEL 202.334.2352 FAX 202.334.1412

www.iom.edu

The Institute of Medicine serves as adviser to the nation to improve health. Established in 1970 under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences,

the Institute of Medicine provides independent, objective, evidence-based advice to policy makers, health professionals, the private sector, and the public.

Copyright 2014 by the National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.